



TO: Jim Frank

DATE: 7/18/86

FROM: Bill Radlinski, JR

☒ Information only

SUBJECT: Unsubstantiated Approval of \$

☐ Response requested

We do not want to be in the position of approving expenditures of dollars without some substantiation of what we are going to get for our money. In the attached instance, we should be getting a written explanation (with budget) before we agree to it. This situation begs our earlier discussion on amendments and change orders.

Although the attached concerns State \$, the federal auditors are "setting aside" our CERCLA contractual expenditures because there was no cost and price analysis of contracts or change orders above \$10,000. This requirement is not embodied in State regulation but the concept of knowing what we are getting for our money is a good one.

Until develop/revise and implement "on-line" procedures, we need to avoid the above situation. (In most cases I think we have been getting the documentation). Please discuss with your managers. Jeff and I have already talked.

cc. Jeff L

RECEIVED
JUL 22 1986
EPA-DLPC



217/782-6761

Refer to: L1630200005 -- St. Clair County
Sauget -- Sauget Sites
Superfund -- General Correspondence

July 11, 1986

Mike Miller
Ecology of Environment
111 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois 60604

Dear Mike:

This letter authorizes Ecology and Environment to proceed with a re-evaluation of the present Statement of Work (SOW) and possible additions of objectives to attain N.P.L. status or secondarily, S.R.A.P.L. status. This work will be performed with a 20,000 dollar ceiling of limitation on expenditures, as discussed in our meeting with you and Gerhard Neumaier on June 27, 1986. I would also like to confirm the proposed meeting time of 10:00 A.M. August 5, at IEPA in Springfield, Illinois for the purpose of reviewing the re-evaluation material you will be preparing. In the week following that meeting, I propose that we meet in Chicago at your office to review SOW changes and prepare a contract amendment to reflect additions and deductions from the contract and corresponding cost differences. Let me add that I compliment your staff for recognizing that the June 27th meeting was needed to discuss the original objectives of the RI/FS. I enjoyed meeting Mr. Neumaier and look forward to future discussions with Ecology and Environment, I remain,

Jeff Larson
Federal Site Management Unit
Remedial Project Management
Division of Land Pollution Control

JL:ct/1373F,47

cc: Bob Cowles
Jim Frank
Bill Child
Bill Radlinski
Lisa Bonnett
Ken Mensing
Division File